

Appl. No.: 09/990,779  
Filed: November 14, 2001  
Page 2

### **REMARKS**

Applicants appreciate the thorough examination of the present invention, as evidenced by the first Official Action. The Official Action rejects all of the pending claims, namely Claims 1-31, under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,442,526 to Vance et al. As explained below, however, Applicants respectfully submit that the claimed invention of the present invention is patentably distinct from the Vance patent. Thus, Applicants respectfully traverse the rejection of the claims as being anticipated by the Vance patent. In view of the remarks presented herein, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and allowance of all of the pending claims of the present application.

The Vance patent discloses a system and method for processing travel data and travel receipts. As disclosed, the system receives travel data that includes one or more travel segments. The system also receives receipts for the trip, which can be received from a credit card provider. The received travel data and receipts can be converted into a predefined format, with the converted information thereafter compared to match information in the travel data and receipts, such as by chain codes or dates of travel. Then, a list of matching data can be output, such as for use in preparing an expense report.

#### **A. Claims 1-12 Are Patentably Distinct from the Vance Patent**

Independent Claims 1 and 7 of the present application provide a method and apparatus for processing a query of a travel database. As recited, the method includes receiving a selected arrival and departure locations, and thereafter finding a set of desirable fares between the arrival and departure locations. Possible itineraries are constructed between the arrival and departure locations associated with the desirable fares. A set of rules are then applied to the possible itineraries. For example, one or more rules can include a minimum and/or maximum number of required stays, advanced purchase requirements or the like. Irrespective of the rules, however, the method further includes querying an availability portion of the travel database for available travel units (e.g., available seats of an aircraft) for one or more travel segments of the itineraries, where the travel database is queried based upon the applied set of rules and the possible itineraries. Thereafter, the available travel units are displayed in a calendar-based user interface.

Appl. No.: 09/990,779  
Filed: November 14, 2001  
Page 3

In contrast to the method and apparatus of independent Claims 1 and 7, the Vance patent does not teach or suggest applying a set of rules to a number of possible travel itineraries, or correspondingly querying an availability portion of a travel database based upon the applied set of rules. The Official Action alleges that in disclosing the status of a number of flights between selected origination and destination locations, the Vance patent teaches these features. Consider for the sake of argument that the status display of the Vance patent is the result of searching an availability portion of a travel database for at least one available travel unit (e.g., available seat). Even in such an instance the Vance patent does not teach or suggest applying a set of rules (e.g., minimum and/or maximum number of required stays, advanced purchase requirements, etc.) to a number of travel itineraries, or searching the availability portion of the travel database based upon the applied set of rules.

Also in contrast to the method and apparatus of independent Claims 1 and 7, the Vance patent does not teach or suggest displaying available travel units in a calendar-based user interface, as recited by independent Claims 1 and 7. The Vance patent does disclose a graphical user interface of a trip planning module, where the graphical user interface includes a calendar for displaying components of a trip planned by a user, such as by displaying a selected flight, hotel, and/or rental car. However, the graphical user interface of the Vance patent does not display available travel units (e.g., available seats), as does the claimed invention of independent Claims 1 and 7. Instead, the graphical user interface of the Vance patent displays only those components of a trip selected by the user.

Applicants therefore respectfully submit that the method and apparatus of independent Claims 1 and 7, and by dependency Claims 2-6 and 8-12, are patentably distinct from the Vance patent. As such, Applicants respectfully submit that the rejection of Claims 1-12 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by the Vance patent is overcome.

**B. Claims 13-19 Are Patentably Distinct from the Vance Patent**

Independent Claim 13 of the present application recites a calendar-based user interface for displaying query results from a database containing travel data. The user interface includes a calendar showing a plurality of days corresponding to the query, and availability and

Appl. No.: 09/990,779  
Filed: November 14, 2001  
Page 4

applicability indicators for each of the days. As recited, the availability indicator for each day shows available itineraries relating to the query. The applicability indicator for each day, on the other hand, shows itineraries relating to the query that apply based on a set of rules and restrictions from travel providers.

In contrast to independent Claim 13, the Vance patent does not teach or suggest a user interface including a calendar, and an availability indicator for each day of the calendar that shows available itineraries relating to a query. Also, the Vance patent does not teach or suggest a user interface that includes an applicability indicator for each day of the calendar that shows itineraries that apply based on a set of rules and restrictions from travel providers. As explained above with respect to Claims 1-12, the Vance patent does disclose a graphical user interface including a calendar. The calendar of the Vance patent, however, displays components of a trip planned by a user, such as by displaying a selected flight, hotel, and/or rental car. The calendar of the Vance patent does not display, for each day, available fares relating to a query or itineraries related to the query that apply based on a set of rules and restrictions from travel providers, as recited by independent Claim 13.

The Vance patent does disclose a graphical user interface that shows a listing (not a calendar) of a number of flights between selected origination and destination locations (see FIG. 14D) including the availability of those flights. However, even the listing only shows those flights for a single date (see FIG. 14C), and not for each date of a calendar, as recited by independent Claim 13.

Thus, Applicants respectfully submit that the user interface of independent Claim 13, and by dependency Claims 14-19, is patentably distinct from the Vance patent. Applicants therefore respectfully submit that the rejection of Claims 13-19 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by the Vance patent is overcome.

**C. Claims 20-31 Are Patentably Distinct from the Vance Patent**

Independent Claims 20 and 26 recite a method and apparatus for administering an availability portion of a relational travel database. As recited, the method includes receiving an availability message from a first travel provider. The availability message is then analyzed to

Appl. No.: 09/990,779  
Filed: November 14, 2001  
Page 5

determine one or more affected travel segments. A schedule portion of the relational travel database is queried for the one or more affected travel segments. Thereafter, if the one or more affected travel segments are found in the schedule portion of the relational database, a record is written to an availability portion of the relational database based on a status portion of the availability message.

In contrast to independent Claims 20 and 26, the Vance patent does not teach or suggest a method or apparatus for administering an availability portion of a relational travel database, much less such a method or apparatus that includes receiving an availability message, analyzing the availability message, and writing a record to the availability portion of the relational database. The Official Action alleges that by disclosing the BargainFinderPlus feature of the Vance patent (see FIGS. 14P-14S), the Vance patent discloses analyzing an availability message to determine one or more affected travel segments, querying a schedule portion of a relational travel database for the affected travel segment(s), and writing a record to an availability portion of the relational database based on a status portion of the availability message if affected travel segment(s) are found in the schedule portion.

Applicants respectfully submit, however, that instead of disclosing a technique for administering an availability portion of a relational travel database, the Vance patent merely discloses a feature that permits a user to search for flights priced lower than a selected flight. Vance Patent col. 12, ll. 6-20. If the user then desires to select a lower priced flight, the Vance system updates the user's travel log to reflect the changed flight. In this regard, as the user searches for lower priced flights based on a flight already selected, and receives a list of available lower priced flights, the BargainFinderPlus feature of the Vance patent cannot be considered a technique for administering the availability portion of a relational travel database, as does the claimed invention of independent Claims 20 and 26. More particularly, for example, the BargainFinderPlus feature cannot be considered to include receiving an availability message from a travel provider, the availability message then being analyzed to determine one or more affected travel segments. In this regard, any messages in the BargainFinderPlus feature of the Vance patent are received from the user, although in that instance the user is searching for lower

Appl. No.: 09/990,779  
Filed: November 14, 2001  
Page 6

priced flights, and not available flights since the user has already selected an available flight (that being compared for lower priced flights).

As the Vance patent does not teach or suggest a method or apparatus for administering an availability portion of a relational travel database, Applicants respectfully submit that the invention of independent Claims 20 and 26, and by dependency Claims 21-25 and 27-31, is patentably distinct from the Vance patent. Applicants therefore respectfully submit that the rejection of Claims 20-31 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by the Vance patent is overcome.

Appl. No.: 09/990,779  
Filed: November 14, 2001  
Page 7

### CONCLUSION

In view of the remarks presented above, Applicants respectfully submit that the present application is in condition for allowance. As such, the issuance of a Notice of Allowance is therefore respectfully requested. In order to expedite the examination of the present application, the Examiner is encouraged to contact Applicants' undersigned attorney in order to resolve any remaining issues.

It is not believed that extensions of time or fees for net addition of claims are required, beyond those that may otherwise be provided for in documents accompanying this paper. However, in the event that additional extensions of time are necessary to allow consideration of this paper, such extensions are hereby petitioned under 37 CFR § 1.136(a), and any fee required therefore (including fees for net addition of claims) is hereby authorized to be charged to Deposit Account No. 16-0605.

Respectfully submitted,





Andrew T. Spence  
Registration No. 45,699

Customer No. 00826  
**ALSTON & BIRD LLP**  
Bank of America Plaza  
101 South Tryon Street, Suite 4000  
Charlotte, NC 28280-4000  
Tel Charlotte Office (704) 444-1000  
Fax Charlotte Office (704) 444-1111

#### CERTIFICATION OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that this paper is being facsimile transmitted to the US Patent and Trademark Office at Fax No. (703) 872-9306 on the date shown below.

  
Sarah B. Simmons

  
Date